

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

FRIDAY, THE 17TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 26TH KARTHIKA 1928

WP(C).No. 30362 of 2006(M)

PETITIONER:

J. VARGHESE, S/O.JOSEPH,
AGED 54 YEARS, ATTENDANT, VETERINARY DISPENSARY
ALAMUK, POOVACHAL P.O., NEDUMANGAD TALUK,
TRIVANDRUM.

BY ADV. SRI.T.V.GEORGE

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY
TO ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT,
TRIVANDRUM.
2. DIRECTOR OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY
DEPARTMENT, ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DIRECTORATE,
VIKAS BHAVAN, TRIVANDRUM.
3. DISTRICT ANIMAL HUSBANDRY OFFICER,
THAMPANOOR, TRIVANDRUM.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 17/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 30362 of 2006

Dated this the 17th day of November, 2006

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is an attendant working in the Veterinary dispensary. He feels aggrieved by the order of transfer issued by the third respondent on 7.10.2006 transferring him from Veterinary dispensary, Sharkara. To redress his grievance, Ext.P3 representation has been filed before the second respondent. The difficulties and inconveniences the petitioner may have to undergo for discharging duties in the new station, namely, Sharkara have been described in Ext.P3. Those facts are not repeated in this judgment as I have no reason to assume that the second respondent, for whose consideration Ext.P3 has been filed, will not look into the same. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this court may fix a time limit for the second respondent to pass orders on Ext.P3.

2. Having heard the learned Government Pleader also, I feel that the writ petition itself can be disposed of with the following directions:

1)The second respondent shall consider Ext.P3 bestowing personal attention to the difficulties and inconveniences stated therein.

2) Orders shall be passed within three weeks on the petitioner producing a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ

petition.

3) Claims made by any other employee as also the exigencies of service shall also weigh with the second respondent while disposing of Ext.P3. The compassionate grounds urged by the petitioner are, certainly, relevant considerations.

3. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the second respondent for compliance.

Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

CSS/

